## Ben Holihead

From:

LLAF@googlegroups.com on behalf of Roy Denney <roydenney@hotmail.com>

Sent:

01 October 2015 23:50

To:

llaf@googlegroups.com

Subject:

[LLAF] Late item

Attachments:

extension.jpg

**Categories:** 

LLAF

The restoration plan for the waste site is with LCC planners at the moment and is not to my mind acceptable. You may wish to discuss this at an appropriate slot in the agenda and send a consensus view to the planners from the meeting.

The plan in itself looks fine to me other than the access points into it, in particular bridleway.

P31 is shown on the restoration plan offered as a footpath when in fact it is a bridleway. They don't show P30 as an existing retained footpath. Their index shows permissive paths under Public Rights Of Way which is a contradiction in terms

The proposed new bridleway is welcome but it should have a spur taking it into Feanedock which I think is owned by the National Forest. This would permit them to create an off road link to the P31 bridleway. In addition or as an alternative the bridleway could split to have one arm go across the north of the lagoon with the eastern end of P30 being upgraded to a bridleway, again giving a link to P31

Roy

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "LLAF" group. To post to this group, send email to LLAF@googlegroups.com.

Visit this group at <a href="http://groups.google.com/group/LLAF">http://groups.google.com/group/LLAF</a>.

To view this discussion on the web, visit <a href="https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/LLAF/BLU436-SMTP62DBA0B2089D40FDC99434B64C0%40phx.gbl">https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/LLAF/BLU436-SMTP62DBA0B2089D40FDC99434B64C0%40phx.gbl</a>.

